

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/710,257	RAMACHANDRAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Khanh B. Duong	2822	

**All Participants:**  
  
(1) Khanh B. Duong.  
  
(2) Todd Li.  
  
**Date of Interview:** 23 September 2005

**Status of Application:** Non-final rejection  
  
(3) \_\_\_\_\_  
  
(4) \_\_\_\_\_  
  
**Time:** 3:58 PM

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)  
  
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:    ☐ Yes    ☐ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**  
  
Rejection(s) discussed:  
*None*  
  
Claims discussed:  
*1-20*  
  
Prior art documents discussed:  
*None*

**Part II.**  
  
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*Claims 1-20 were determined to comprise two distinct inventions directed to a method and a device. Mr. Li elected method claims 1-10 with traverse.*

**Part III.**  
  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)